



**STRATEGIC PLAN FOR CAPITAL SPENDING
WATER – WASTEWATER – STORMWATER**

HENDERSON WATER UTILITY

HENDERSON, KENTUCKY

JUNE 2014

HWU STRATEGIC PLAN

WATER – WASTEWATER – STORMWATER

I. GOALS AND PURPOSE OF THIS PLAN

The goal of this Strategic Plan is to develop a cost effective and environmentally sound strategy for improving the water, wastewater, and stormwater systems in the City of Henderson to accommodate existing needs and projected growth to the year 2025. Henderson County faces the potential for significant future growth with the construction of Interstate 69, connecting our region to the upper Midwest and Canada, and to the American Southwest and Mexico. Planning for that growth should start now.

Specific objectives of the Strategic Plan include:

- Review and evaluate existing Henderson Water Utility (HWU) water and wastewater treatment facilities to assess their current physical condition, capacities, and improvement needs;
- Finish the final LTCP project, negotiate termination of the Consent Judgment, and move on with our obligations for post-construction monitoring, CSO abatement, and meeting the requirements of the alphabet soup of current and proposed regulatory regimes in water and wastewater (KPDES, SSOP, CMOM, CSOOP, DBPs, ad infinitum).
- Build computer models of our systems that we can maintain internally, utilizing software that takes advantage of HWU's investment in GIS, and use those models to evaluate projects and review capacity, maintenance and improvement needs.
- Update HWU's capital improvement program (CIP) to include projected system enhancements, and to plan and schedule future maintenance and rehabilitation projects.

Recommended capital improvements in this plan are those required to provide safe, adequate and dependable treatment, distribution and collection systems to existing and future customers, taking into consideration population trends, changes in water use, regulatory requirements, and the ability of HWU's customers to bear the costs of proposed improvements. This plan does very little to increase our capacity to serve growth areas; it does reflect an attempt to maintain the systems and facilities we currently have.

As with any planning past two or three years, the further out the time horizon, the less likely the plan will resemble future conditions. This plan should be updated and revised at least annually, to account for changed conditions and developments. Recent experience shows that one small malfunction can turn a lot of planning on its head.

II. WATER STORAGE TANKS

HWU completed an assessment of the nine storage tanks in the water distribution system in May 2014. Copies of the assessment reports are available for review upon request. Those reports summarize the work needed at each tank, and the repair and coating items have been categorized by immediate needs and those that can be put off for a few years. Sheet 1 of the Appendix shows a proposed schedule of repairs to the tanks for the Years 2015 through 2023 using costs generated by the inspection reporting.

Several tanks have surfaces rated as being in fair to poor condition, which require repairs in the short term to protect our investment. Replacement of any one of these tanks is not an option; a new, elevated, 1 million gallon tank would cost approximately \$ 2.0 to 2.5 million, or approximately \$ 2-2.50 per gallon, depending on style and height of the tank. The longer coating projects are put off, the more likely that pitting of the steel will require more extensive (and expensive) repairs.

- A. Frontier: This 500,000 gallon steel elevated tank was constructed in 1967-68, and was last cleaned and top-coated in 1992. There is significant paint failure and corrosion outside, and some corrosion and metal loss on the interior; this tank should be painted inside and out within the next 12 months. Lead-based paint is present, which will raise the cost for this project. We have issued an RFP for an engineer to lead the design and construction process for this tank.



Corrosion on shell and balcony of the Frontier Tank

- B. College: This 500,000 gallon steel elevated tank was constructed in 1967-68, and was last cleaned and top-coated in 1992. There is significant paint failure and corrosion outside, and some corrosion and metal loss on the interior; should be painted within the next 18 months inside and out. Lead-based paint probably is present. We may also consider raising this tank elevation by 15 feet to enhance pressure in the area of the Riverport and the US 60 West industrial areas.



Corrosion on Diagonal Bracing - College Tank

- C. Graham Hill: This 750,000 gallon steel ground storage tank was constructed in 1989 and has had no major maintenance. There is minor paint failure and corrosion outside, and some corrosion and metal loss on the interior. The interior should be repainted within the next 12 months, the exterior within 4 years. This tank is of limited usefulness in our system currently, and may be removed from service if coating is not an option in the short term. However, this tank does act as the backup for Vine Street, so it will likely remain in service until Vine Street is rehabbed.
- D. Tyson: This is a fluted pedestal steel tank with a capacity of 1,000,000 gallons. It was constructed in 1996 and has not had any major maintenance. The exterior is in good condition, and will likely require top-coating within the next 5 years. The wet and dry interior surfaces are in poor condition, and both need to be painted within 1 to 3 years.

- E. Vine Street: This fluted pedestal steel tank has a capacity of 1,000,000 gallons. It was constructed in 1989 and was washed and top-coated in 1996. The exterior has 5 to 10% paint failure, and the wet interior surfaces show significant corrosion. Both need to be painted within 1 to 3 years.



Corrosion on Tank Exterior – Vine Street Tank

- F. 4-Star: This is an elevated steel tank with a capacity of 1,000,000 gallons. It was constructed in 2004 and has had no major maintenance. The exterior is in fair condition, with 1 to 2 % paint failure and will require painting within the next 5 years. The interior wet surfaces are in poor condition with 10 to 15% paint failure and needs to be painted within 1 to 2 years.
- G. Chamberlain: This is a 1,000,000 gallon steel ground storage tank. It was constructed in 2008 and has had no major maintenance. The exterior and interior surfaces are in good condition, and will likely not require painting within the next 5 years.
- H. Atkinson Park: This is a 4,500,000 gallon steel ground storage tank constructed in 1945 and last painted inside and out in 2008 when the booster station associated with this tank failed. Interior and exterior are in good condition, and will likely not require painting within the next 5 years. This tank had heavy interior pitting of the steel when it was painted in 2008, and the repairs have worked and held up well.

- I. Green River Road: This tank is a steel, modified standpipe with a capacity of 330,000 gallons. It was constructed in 1991 and has had no major maintenance. The exterior is in fair condition, and will likely require painting within the next 5 years. The interior wet and dry surfaces show some corrosion and pitting, and both should be painted within 1 to 3 years. This tank is our most problematic for disinfection by-products, and should have some internal plumbing installed to allow it to fill from the top and empty from the bottom, in lieu of a mixing system; this is listed as a “deferred” project in the Appendix.



Loss of Coating on the Roof of the Green River Road Tank

III. WATER SYSTEM PROJECTS

A. North Water Treatment Plant

- i. Raw Water Intake: With the completion of the Raw Water Intake project in 2013, the supply side of the NWTP is in excellent condition. We installed pumps with a capacity of up to 16.0 mgd (with the two largest pumps running) and a 30" raw water supply line that runs from the intake to the vicinity of the plant. This 16 mgd capacity for raw water is sufficient for present needs, and for anticipated capacity for the term to 2025.



New Pumps and Travelling Screens @ Raw Water Intake

- ii. Capacity: The nominal rated capacity of the NWTP treatment systems is 12.0 mgd, based on filter flow rate. For the period from January 2007 to date, production at the plant has never exceeded 11.4 mgd (which appears to be an outlier due to a large break). During the higher usage months of May, June, July and August, peak usage often reaches the 9 to 11 mgd range for 1 to 3 days at a time. Average peak demand in any month during the period from 2007 to date was 7.1 mgd (treated). Average production thus equates to about 58% of plant capacity.

The following table shows residential (single and multi-family) water use data from 2009 generated from billing records, with average total residential sales of 2.05 mgd. A survey of our top 30 North service area industrial customers from the same time period shows an average daily use of 3.32 mgd, for a total of 5.37 mgd average. Average daily water pumped during 2009 was 6.61 mgd, which equates to an “unbilled” water of approximately 19 percent, which includes lost water and government uses.

Ward Number	Single-Family Metered Sales			Multi-Family Metered Sales (gallons/day)	Average Residential Sales (MGD)
	Number of Accounts	Average Use (total gallons per day)	Per Capita Use * (gpcd)		
1	2,067	436,810	88.05	95,012	0.53
2	2,998	552,855	76.84	64,107	0.62
3	2,111	341,710	67.45	37,632	0.38
4	2,384	424,519	74.20	94,083	0.52
Total or Average	9,560	1,755,895	76.53	290,832	2.05

* Assumes 2.40 persons per single-family residence per 2000 U.S. Census data for Henderson County, KY.

If a large industrial customer locates in the North service area, an increase in filter capacity may be necessary, but for the ten year study period, it appears that capacity of the NWTP is sufficient, as it does not approach 80% of capacity on a sustained basis. However, an additional set of filters would provide an increased safety factor, and would allow longer operations between filter backwashes.

- iii. Critical Repairs (Phase 1 Project): We have completed a study of needed repairs at the North Water Treatment Plant in coordination with Clark Deitz, Inc. (CDI). The study looked at critical and non-critical elements of the plant buildings, basins and appurtenances, and included a complete structural evaluation of the basins due to concerns with apparent ongoing leakage along the Water Street frontage of the plant.

The structurally unsound condition of the flash mixing basin drives the design and construction of a Phase 1 project. The recommended solution is to construct a new flash mixing basin and drop box within the abandoned settled water aeration area at the front of the plant near Water Street. In addition, by constructing a new wall along the west end of the superpulser clarifiers and a new effluent channel from the superpulser clarifiers to the contact basin, the water leakage beneath the riprap slopes on the west and north sides of the northwest corner of the plant should be eliminated.

While constructing these improvements, we will include other associated items such as replacing the influent screen, adding a bypass for the contact basin, replacing the deteriorated baffles and installing algae control covers. These improvements can all be constructed while keeping the plant in operation. In addition, dewatering these areas and using algae control covers will result in less chlorine demand, improve plant operations and make maintenance easier. This project could be designed in the 2014-15 FY, with construction to follow the year after that.

- iv. Non-Critical Repairs (Phase 2 Project): Improvements in Phase 2 of the CDI study include replacing the pump check valves, improvements to the clear well, roof repair, upgraded HVAC system and facility aesthetics. Since the area currently used to store the water treatment chemicals does not have the ventilation and cooling systems required to meet recommended

standards, the main plant building's HVAC system should be upgraded. Phase 2 also includes most of the structural and architectural building repair; however, short term repair of the brick façade is recommended and included within Phase 1 to ensure a safe working environment for HWU staff. Phase 2 projects may be accomplished in several smaller projects, some using HWU staff.

All these Water System projects are shown on Sheet 2 of the Appendix.

- v. Long-Range Planning for North WTP: In the long term (15 to 25 years), it seems likely that regulations will lead us to a project to install membrane filtration for the North Water System. Given our investment in the Raw Water Intake and the origin of the distribution system around the NWTP location, it is unlikely that a new membrane filtration plant could be sited remotely from the present locale without significant additional expense to pipe a raw water supply to another location, and then pipe finished water back to Water Street where the larger distribution system piping originates. Due to the reduced footprint a membrane filter plant would occupy, it is possible that a new building could be located behind the present clearwell location, adjacent to Red Banks Park. Sedimentation basins could be constructed just south of the high service building, between it and the Station One power plant. This concept allows continued use of the distribution system origin and the high service/clearwell facilities.

This possibility should be considered in any work done to the Station One site, as it is apparently set for demolition at some point in the near term. Taking this approach to a new North WTP might also allow the current plant site on the east side of Water Street to be redeveloped.

B. South Water Treatment Plant

- i. Study Underway: A study of future projects to mitigate risk at the South Water Treatment Plant (SWTP) is ongoing. Several aspects of the plant are included in this study, which is roughly 60% complete.
- ii. Clearwell Improvements: An inspection in late 2013 revealed internal corrosion of the 800,000 gallon ground mounted steel tank used for storage of filtered water. There are also areas on the inlet piping that are rusted through, and we're unable to completely repair those areas since the tank cannot be dewatered while the plant is in operation. Wauford ran two scenarios, replacing the clearwell with a ground-mounted, prestressed concrete tank, and a second alternative of painting the existing steel tank. Replacement is estimated at \$ 770,000, including engineering and contingency, and reuse of the existing tank is estimated at \$ 330,000, including additional work required to turn the existing secondary clarifier into a temporary clearwell. The replacement option eliminates the future need to recoat the existing tank, but due to our financial constraints, spending the additional money at this time is not an option.
- iii. Raw Water Supply and Plant Capacity: Wauford's study includes options related to the raw water pumping (which relies on cooling water pumps at the Big Rivers power plant), and includes an option of installing our own raw water pumps in the Big Rivers intake structure (estimated at \$ 1.6 million), or possible improvements to the raw water feed lines with emergency bypass connections available (\$ 580,000). This study also includes options related

to future capacity expansion. Currently, the SWTP runs near 85% of its rated capacity of 4.0 mgd for 5 days a week. There is thus insufficient extra capacity to serve potential industrial users at the nearby 4-Star industrial park. Planning for a capacity boost includes a changeover of the plant from traditional sand filters to a membrane filtration system.

Expansion of the SWTP to increase capacity and replace the existing plant will be the final part of this study. A new plant with membrane filtration could be built in stages, spreading out the financial impact. This would require repairs to the existing plant to keep it in service until we can afford to build the expansion, and to keep the existing plant operating as the expansion is staged over several years.

C. **Water Distribution Systems**

- i. **South Distribution**: At the South WTP, the distribution system is relatively new, and there are no known deficiencies that require upgrades. Most of our South distribution lines are “transmission” mains, since our responsibilities include feeding contractual customers (Sebree, Beech Grove, and the Tyson facilities). We have only a handful of residential accounts in that system. Additional industrial customers in the 4-Star Industrial Park might require relatively short water line extensions, depending on usage. One possible project that we will include in the South WTP planning report is a parallel transmission main from the plant to the 4-Star tank, which will allow the high service pumps to be used to maintain two pressure zones, one for Tyson and 4-Star, and one for Sebree and Beech Grove. This would facilitate plant and tank operations, removing the need to operate several valves each day to switch flow from the Tyson tank to Sebree.
- ii. **North Distribution**: The North distribution system serving the City is divided into three pressure zones: North (from about 14th Street north, and east of the Cloverleaf on US 60), South (from Fair Street, south and west out US 60 West, including the Riverport), and the low pressure or Central zone, which runs directly off the high service pumps and the Vine Street tank.

We have several challenges in the North water distribution system. In order to rationally determine our needs, we’ve contracted with Strand Associates to construct and calibrate our Water Model in the Innovyze software we purchased in 2011. This effort should be completed late in 2014, and after the model is in our hands, we can more readily identify areas or concern and projects to address them. The following are some areas that we know we need to address.

1. **North Pressure Zone**: The North pressure zone booster station in Atkinson Park was built in 2008 after the near collapse of the Atkinson Park tank. This zone is adequately served by two storage tanks (Frontier & Green River). There are areas within the North zone that have inadequate pressure due to elevation, mostly in the back sections of Grantwood Hills, and on Timberline Drive. Staff has discussed a project to provide a booster station at a point near Green River Road and Osage Drive, to provide a small additional pressure zone in this area. An in-line booster pump or a small booster station could be provided on the new transmission main installed in that area for the Green River Road widening project. Detailed engineering and design has not started on this project.

2. **South Pressure Zone:** The South Zone is controlled by a small, outdated pump station on Fair Street. We have a project planned to move an unused temporary booster station on Barret Boulevard to a new location on 60 West, near Fairmont Cemetery. This new station will move the boundary of the South zone further out, and should improve pressure and flow to outlying areas.

The South pressure zone also suffers from having only one storage tank (College) available. This makes periodic cleaning or other tank maintenance difficult. In 2002 we purchased a small parcel near the intersection of the KY 425 Bypass and US 41 A with the intention of constructing a 1.0 million gallon elevated tank, but a large increase in steel prices at that time led to a decision to shelve that project and it was never constructed. Cost of that size and type of tank at present would be approximately \$ 2.5 million. It is listed as a project on the attached schedules, but is put off to the end of the study period.

3. **Central (or Low Pressure) Zone:** The central pressure zone extends from the North and South zone boundaries and from Downtown to Graham Hill. Overall, its problems of pressure or flow are the result of older lines, some of which exceed an age of 100 years. The water system plan includes an amount for line replacement each year, and will concentrate on areas adjacent to the Downtown, and extending to the East End.

An area near the Accuride plant, and some areas on Outer Second Street suffer from lower than optimal pressure. One possible solution to this issue may be to construct an additional booster station at 2nd & Hwy 41, and operate an additional "Outer" pressure zone that would encompass the far eastern areas along Outer Second Street, Hwy 41 South, and the area along the KY 425 Bypass. Construction of the 41A tank, raising the elevation of the College Tank, and water line construction along KY 425 would be required to make this feasible. It would increase pressure and flow in several industrialized areas, and merits further study. This would also help to open up areas along the 425 bypass for future development; that would also require extension of sewer service to those areas.

Several main extension projects that would increase capacity and reliability in the system are included in the plan, but without cost estimates attached, pending modeling within the updated Water Model, and future detailed design.

IV. WASTEWATER SYSTEM PROJECTS

A. **North Wastewater Treatment Plant:**

The North Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements (Headworks) project is ongoing. This project will take the plant capacity to 25.5 MGD, which maximizes the capacity of the existing aeration basins. At this point, only a small number of future projects would be planned for this plant over the 2014-2025 time period.

The belt presses at the NWWTP will need to be replaced at some point during the study period, and the plan shows those spread out over several years. We completed a study of our sludge

disposal options in 2013, and can readdress the question of the best method of sludge disposal at that time, by updating project costs for the various options and re-running the cost-benefit analysis. The presses at the North plant are near the end of their useful life.

We have also included a project in FYs 2018 & 2019 to upgrade NWWTP Basin # 2 in the same manner that Basin # 1 was upgraded in 2013, with fine bubble diffusers mounted to a concrete floor and a more robust liner with a gas removal system. Renovation of Basin # 2 might allow Basin # 3 to be taken off line and used as a surge basin, greatly reducing the amount of air required for aeration and mixing, leading to large savings in electric use. Timing of this project will depend on the uncertain life of the existing liner in Basin # 2.

All these Wastewater System projects are shown on Sheet 3 of the Appendix.

We have also discussed internally the possibility of constructing a plant on the North WWTP site that would allow Direct Potable Reuse (DPR) of highly-treated wastewater effluent. This water could possibly be used in an industrial process that's highly water-intensive, like a paper plant, or possibly as fire-suppression service in an industrial park. This sort of system would require a parallel distribution system, so it's likely that the only areas where it would be practical would be near the treatment plants. We have no plans to pursue a DPR system unless and until an industrial prospect surfaces that is compatible with this use.

B. South Wastewater Treatment Plant:

South WWTP projects in this plan are minimal. Capacity of the SWWTP is rated at 8 mgd with the exception of the wastewater discharge line, and 8 mgd is twice the current capacity of the South water plant. Until a large industrial customer locates in the 4-Star area, no increase in SWWTP capacity is anticipated. We have included replacement of the SWWTP sludge presses, due to recent problems with these machines. As with the North plant, a rerun of the sludge disposal study process would be appropriate at the time these presses are replaced, or when some other factor has a large impact on our dewatering and disposal processes.

C. Wastewater Collection Systems:

Projects have been included in the plan for the following in the North Collection System:

- i. Myrene Drive pump station: Study underway to look at options for this station. The amount shown is a place-holder.
- ii. Countryview Subdivision Sewer Lining: This project would reduce problems with inflow and infiltration in this old system of clay pipes with offset joints and many leaks.
- iii. Highlander Acres Sewer Lining: Like Countryview, older portions of this subdivision have clay-tile sewers that leak and are a maintenance concern. This estimated amount is subject to detailed design, which has not been begun.

- iv. Cantex Pump Stations: Highlander Acres and Rolling Hills pump stations are Cantex stations that are maintenance headaches and are part of our long-term strategy to replace these outmoded stations. The amounts shown are placeholders, as detailed design has not begun.
- v. Separation Projects: We have done preliminary planning for two additional separation projects, one in the East End and one in the Washington-Ingram area. Both projects would relieve stormwater flows to the North Fork pump station, reducing sewer overflows even further than our current efforts. These estimates are placeholders, subject to further study and design.

The South collection system is essentially a series of transmission mains from customers in 4-Star industrial park, the Tyson facilities, and the City of Seabee. Since most of this system was newly constructed in 1995, it is not anticipated that large-scale repairs will be necessary during the study period. Small projects to serve additional areas of 4-Star will likely happen as industrial development proceeds, but it makes little sense to construct wastewater collection lines to unoccupied lots in the industrial park.

V. STORMWATER PROJECTS

We have included an annual amount of \$ 100,000 for continued stormwater work in Countryview Subdivision, which is being matched by the City. We've also included amounts for Neighborhood Stormwater projects, assuming that those will continue to surface.

A replacement culvert under Van Wyck Road at Kimsey Lane is shown as a project in 2019. It was planned for the 2014-15 FY budget, but the City pulled their portion of the project at budget time.

The final phase of the Center & Julia project is shown being constructed in FYs 2023 and 2024, but that timing is subject to change. At this time, we have an application pending with the CSX Railroad for this crossing, but have not received a permit. This project would be moved up in case of the emergency collapse of the existing stone culvert that this stormwater line is meant to replace.

VI. OTHER AREAS: VEHICLES, AUTOMATION, IT, ADMINISTRATION

We have included entries for each of these areas in the strategic plan, in an attempt to insure that these areas are not forgotten. Prior to 2010, we had a vehicle replacement schedule in place, but that was abandoned when funds got tight during the LTCP projects. We will now be playing catch-up on that schedule.

These placeholder entries are shown on the final page of the Appendix in the "Overall Summary".

VII. SUMMARY

The last page of the Appendix shows an overall summary of the expenditures required by this strategic plan. Please note that several projects listed in the plan have no dollars associated with them, as yet. Also, the summary includes an inflation adjustment, assuming 1 to 2% construction industry inflation in the years ahead.

The summary sheet shows needed expenditures exceeding the Capital Spending figures in the latest cash flow forecast by significant amounts. Our task in the years to come will be to mold this plan to fit available resources.



Tank Coating and Repair Summary

Water Storage Tank - Repair and Coating Project Summary														
Tank Project Summary					Estimated Repair Costs by Tank/FY									
<u>Tank</u>	<u>Volume (Gallons)</u>	<u>Year Constructed</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Last Major Maintenance (YR)</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>
Atkinson Park	4,500,000	1946	Ground	2008					\$130,000	\$444,700				
Chamberlain	1,000,000	2008	Ground					\$67,000						
College	500,000	1967	Elevated	1992 (See Note 1)		\$603,000				\$70,000				
Four Star	1,000,000	2004	Elevated			\$219,000					\$255,000			
Frontier	500,000	1967	Elevated	1992 (See Note 1)	\$678,000				\$70,000					
Graham Hill	750,000	1989	Ground	Interior 1991					\$211,000		\$230,000			
Green River Road	330,000	1991	Wet-Riser Hydropillar	Exterior 1992			\$248,000	\$125,000						
Tyson	1,000,000	1996	Fluted Column Hydropillar					\$516,000				\$395,000		
Vine Street	1,000,000	1989	Fluted Column Hydropillar	1996			\$555,277		\$20,000					
Total Spending Per FY					\$ 678,000	\$ 822,000	\$ 803,277	\$ 708,000	\$ 431,000	\$ 514,700	\$ 485,000	\$ 395,000	\$ -	\$ -
<i>Note 1: Interior 1991 /Exterior 1992</i>														

Overall Summary

System-Wide Summary - Capital Needs												
Henderson Water Utility Project Summary	Estimated Costs per FY - Indexed per Inflation Assumptions Shown Below											
<i>System or Area of Expenditures</i>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>	<u>2015 - 2024</u>	
Tank Repair and Coating Projects	\$ 678,000	\$ 830,220	\$ 819,423	\$ 736,675	\$ 457,425	\$ 557,182	\$ 535,532	\$ 444,878	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,059,336	
Water System Projects	\$ 587,000	\$ 1,190,285	\$ 981,846	\$ 395,391	\$ 687,200	\$ 728,007	\$ 938,561	\$ 2,083,605	\$ 402,079	\$ 117,177	\$ 8,111,151	
Wastewater System Projects	\$ 75,000	\$ 353,500	\$ 280,528	\$ 967,667	\$ 1,432,771	\$ 1,104,189	\$ 552,095	\$ 698,289	\$ 402,079	\$ 585,887	\$ 6,452,005	
Stormwater System Projects	\$ 125,000	\$ 126,250	\$ 127,513	\$ 156,075	\$ 297,167	\$ 216,508	\$ 220,838	\$ 168,941	\$ 1,206,238	\$ 1,230,363	\$ 3,874,893	
Administrative - Computer, Software, Buildings	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 700,000	
Vehicles & Equipment	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 1,200,000	
SCADA & Instrumentation Projects	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 700,000	
Small Equipment & Miscellaneous Capital Upgrades	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000	\$ 1,150,000	
<u>Total Required Spending - FY</u>	\$1,715,000	\$2,750,255	\$2,509,309	\$2,605,808	\$3,174,564	\$3,005,886	\$2,697,025	\$3,895,713	\$2,460,397	\$2,433,428	\$27,247,384	
Inflation Adjustment (Estimates on other pages are in 2014 dollars)	0%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%		
HWU Construction Index	100.00	101.0000	102.0100	104.0502	106.1312	108.2538	110.4189	112.6273	114.8798	117.1774		